MPRI – Computation Geometry and Topology

## Clustering

#### Steve Oudot

(steve.oudot@inria.fr)





## Cluster Analysis

**Input:** a finite set of observations: - point cloud with coordinates

- distance / (dis-)similarity matrix



#### Task:

partition the data points into a collection of *relevant* subsets called clusters

## A Wealth of Approaches

#### Variational

- k-means / k-medoid
- EM
- CLARA spectral k-means
  - Normalized Cut
  - Multiway Cut

#### Hierarchical divisive/agglomerative

- single-linkage
- BIRCH

#### **Density thresholding**

- DBSCAN - OPTICS

#### Mode seeking

- Mean/Medoid/Quick Shift
- graph-based hill climbing

#### Valley seeking

- [JBD'79]
- NDDs [ZZZL'07]

## A Wealth of Approaches

#### Variational

- k-means / k-medoid
- EM
- CLARA spectral k-means

#### - Normalized Cut

- Multiway Cut

#### **Hierarchical divisive/agglomerative**

- single-linkage
- BIRCH

#### **Density thresholding**

- DBSCAN - OPTICS

#### Mode seeking

- Mean/Medoid/Quick Shift
- graph-based hill climbing

#### Valley seeking

- [JBD'79]
- NDDs [ZZZL'07]

- Assume the data points are sampled from some unknown probability distribution
- Partition the data according to the basins of attraction of the peaks of the density



- Assume the data points are sampled from some unknown probability distribution
- Partition the data according to the basins of attraction of the peaks of the density



- Assume the data points are sampled from some unknown probability distribution
- Partition the data according to the basins of attraction of the peaks of the density



- Assume the data points are sampled from some unknown probability distribution
- Partition the data according to the basins of attraction of the peaks of the density



- Assume the data points are sampled from some unknown probability distribution
- Partition the data according to the basins of attraction of the peaks of the density







estimate density

at the data points





estimate density

at the data points



build neighborhood graph





estimate density

at the data points



build neighborhood graph





approximate gradient

by a graph edge at each data point

• Noisy estimator









- Noisy estimator
- Neighborhood graph



- Noisy estimator
- Neighborhood graph

#### Solutions:

Be proactive: act on approximate gradient flow (Mean-Shift [CM'02])
→ use kernel density estimator, with smoothing window parameter
→ work in ambient space to circumvent neighborhood graph issue

- Noisy estimator
- Neighborhood graph

#### Solutions:

- 1. Be proactive: act on approximate gradient flow (Mean-Shift [CM'02])  $\rightarrow$  use kernel density estimator, with smoothing window parameter  $\rightarrow$  work in ambient space to circumvent neighborhood graph issue
- 2. Be reactive: merge clusters after clustering (ToMATo [CGOS'13])
  - $\rightarrow$  use topological persistence to guide a single-pass merging step  $\rightarrow$  work in neighborhood graph to minimize prior knowledge

# 1. Mean-Shift

**Principle:** take a mixture of copies of an 'elementary' density (kernel), anchored at each observation



**Principle:** take a mixture of copies of an 'elementary' density (kernel), anchored at each observation



**Input:**  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  (data points),  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (query point)

**General formula:** (convolution)

$$\hat{f}_{K_H}(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_H(x - p_i)$$
, where  $K_H(u) := (\det H)^{-1/2} K(H^{-1/2}u)$ 

- *H*: inner-product (positive-definite)  $d \times d$  matrix (adds scaling / anisotropy)
- $K : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^+$ : *d*-variate kernel:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(u) \, du = 1 \quad \text{(normalized)} \qquad \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u \, K(u) \, du = 0 \quad \text{(centered at origin)}$$
$$\lim_{\|u\| \to \infty} K(u) = 0 \quad \text{(vanishes at infinity)} \qquad \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u u^T \, K(u) \, du = c_K \, I_d \quad \text{(isotropic)}$$

0

**Specialization 1:** take  $H = \sigma^2 I_d$  (isotropic kernel) bandwidth / window

**Specialization 1:** take  $H = \sigma^2 I_d$  (isotropic kernel) bandwidth / window **Specialization 2:** take  $K(u) \propto k(||u||_2^2)$  for some  $k : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ (radially-symmetric kernel) kernel profile normalizing factor:  $c_{k,d} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{D}^d} k(\|u\|_2^2) \, du \right)^{-1}$ 

**Specialization 1:** take  $H = \sigma^2 I_d$  (isotropic kernel) `bandwidth / window **Specialization 2:** take  $K(u) \propto k(||u||_2^2)$  for some  $k : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ (radially-symmetric kernel) kernel profile normalizing factor:  $c_{k,d} := \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} k(\|u\|_2^2) du \right)^{-1}$  $n_{\rm cu}$ 

$$\rightsquigarrow \hat{f}_{\sigma,k}(x) := \frac{c_{k,d}}{n \, \sigma^d} \, \sum_{i=1}^n \, k\left(\frac{\|x - p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$



$$\rightsquigarrow c_{k,d} = 1/\operatorname{Vol} B_d(0,1)$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(d/2+1)}{\pi^{d/2}}$$



 $\rightsquigarrow c_{k,d} = (2\pi)^{-d/2}$ Gaussian:  $k_{\mathcal{N}}(t) := \exp\left(-t/2\right)$ 0.8 0.4  $'_{K_{\mathcal{N}}}$ 0.2  $k_{\mathcal{N}}$ 0 **Epanechnikov:**  $k_{\mathcal{E}}(t) := \begin{cases} 1-t \text{ if } t \leq 1 \\ 0 \text{ if } t > 1 \end{cases} \qquad \rightsquigarrow c_{k,d} = \frac{d+2}{2 \operatorname{Vol} B_d(0,1)}$ 0.8 1. 0.4  $K_{\mathcal{E}}$ 0.2  $k_{\mathcal{E}}$ 0.0  $\left( \right)$ -3

7



### Influence of the bandwidth

- small  $\sigma$  (undersmoothing): small bias (sensitivity), large variance (instability)
- large  $\sigma$  (*oversmoothing*): large bias (insensitivity), small variance (stability)



Old geyser dataset

$$\hat{f}_{\sigma,k}(x) := \frac{c_{k,d}}{n \, \sigma^d} \, \sum_{i=1}^n \, k \left( \frac{\|x - p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2} \right)$$
$$\hat{\nabla}_f(x) := \nabla_{\hat{f}_{\sigma,k}}(x) = \frac{2 \, c_{k,d}}{n \, \sigma^{d+2}} \, \sum_{i=1}^n \left( x - p_i \right) k' \left( \frac{\|x - p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2} \right)$$

$$\hat{f}_{\sigma,k}(x) := \frac{c_{k,d}}{n \, \sigma^d} \, \sum_{i=1}^n \, k\left(\frac{\|x - p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_f(x) := \nabla_{\hat{f}_{\sigma,k}}(x) = \frac{2c_{k,d}}{n\sigma^{d+2}} \sum_{i=1}^n (x-p_i) \, k' \left(\frac{\|x-p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Letting g := -k' (assumed to be  $\geq 0$ ):

$$\nabla_{\hat{f}_{\sigma,k}}(x) = \frac{2c_{k,d}}{n\,\sigma^{d+2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\frac{\|x-p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right) \right) \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g\left(\frac{\|x-p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\frac{\|x-p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)} - x \right)$$

$$\hat{f}_{\sigma,k}(x) := \frac{c_{k,d}}{n \, \sigma^d} \, \sum_{i=1}^n \, k\left(\frac{\|x - p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_f(x) := \nabla_{\hat{f}_{\sigma,k}}(x) = \frac{2c_{k,d}}{n\sigma^{d+2}} \sum_{i=1}^n (x - p_i) \, k' \left(\frac{\|x - p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Letting g := -k' (assumed to be  $\geq 0$ ):

$$\nabla_{\hat{f}_{\sigma,k}}(x) = \frac{2c_{k,d}}{n\,\sigma^{d+2}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left( \frac{\|x - p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \right)$$

(un-normalized) kernel density estimator with profile g

 $\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g\left(\frac{\|x-p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\frac{\|x-p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)} - x\right)$ 

barycenter w.r.t. g

mean-shift  $m_{\sigma,g}(x)$ 

$$\hat{f}_{\sigma,k}(x) := \frac{c_{k,d}}{n \, \sigma^d} \, \sum_{i=1}^n \, k\left(\frac{\|x - p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_f(x) := \nabla_{\hat{f}_{\sigma,k}}(x) = \frac{2c_{k,d}}{n\sigma^{d+2}} \sum_{i=1}^n (x-p_i) \, k' \left(\frac{\|x-p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)$$

Letting 
$$g := -k'$$
 (assumed to be  $\ge 0$ ):

$$\nabla_{\hat{f}_{\sigma,k}}(x) = \frac{2c_{k,d}}{n\,\sigma^{d+2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\frac{\|x-p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)\right)$$

(un-normalized) kernel density estimator with profile g

$$\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i g\left(\frac{\|x-p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(\frac{\|x-p_i\|_2^2}{\sigma^2}\right)} - x\right)$$
  
barycenter w.r.t. g

mean-shift  $m_{\sigma,g}(x)$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  gradient of density is collinear with mean-shift and oriented in the same direction

### Mean-Shift

hill-climbing

**Input:**  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  (data points),  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  (query point to be labeled)

**Parameters:**  $k \colon \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  (profile),  $\sigma > 0$  (bandwidth)



**Output:** the label associated with the convergence point

### Mean-Shift

- Apply Mean-Shift hill-climbing to each input point  $p_i \in P$
- Epanechnikov kernel  $\Rightarrow$  convergence in finite time

 $\rightarrow$  may converge outside the set of critical points of the estimator

 $\rightarrow$  use variant to guarantee cvgence to maximum [Huang et al. 2017]

### Mean-Shift

- Apply Mean-Shift hill-climbing to each input point  $p_i \in P$
- Epanechnikov kernel  $\Rightarrow$  convergence in finite time

 $\rightarrow$  may converge outside the set of critical points of the estimator

- $\rightarrow$  use variant to guarantee cvgence to maximum [Huang et al. 2017]
- Gaussian kernel  $\Rightarrow$  convergence at the limit (infinite time)
  - $\rightarrow$  stopping criterion (convergence radius)
  - $\rightarrow$  identification of modes (mode radius)
  - $\rightarrow$  speed-up: hill-climbing gathers neighboring points (gathering radius)

 $\rightsquigarrow$  heuristic: make these radii proportional to the estimator's bandwidth  $\sigma$
# Examples [Comaniciu, Meer 2002]







# Examples [Comaniciu, Meer 2002]



Original

 $\left(h_{s},h_{r}
ight)=\left(8,8
ight)$ 

 $\left(h_{s},h_{r}\right)=\left(8,16\right)$ 



 $(h_s,h_r)=(16,4)$ 



 $(h_s,h_r)=(16,8)$ 

 $(h_s, h_r) = (16, 16)$ 

1:46:1:24



 $(h_s,h_r)=(32,4)$ 

 $(h_s, h_r) = (32, 8)$ 

 $(h_s, h_r) = (32, 16)$ 

2. ToMATo





estimate density

at the data points





estimate density

at the data points



build neighborhood graph





estimate density

at the data points



build neighborhood graph





approximate gradient

by a graph edge at each data point

# Pseudo-code:

**Input:** neighborhood graph G with n vertices, n-dimensional vector  $\hat{f}$  (density estimator)

Sort the vertex indices  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  so that  $\hat{f}(1) \ge \hat{f}(2) \ge \dots \ge \hat{f}(n)$ ; Initialize a union-find data structure (disjoint-set forest)  $\mathcal{U}$  and two vectors g, r of size n;

for i = 1 to n do Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the set of neighbors of i in G that have indices lower than i; if  $\mathcal{N} = \emptyset$  // vertex i is a peak of  $\hat{f}$  within GCreate a new entry e in  $\mathcal{U}$  and attach vertex i to it;  $r(e) \leftarrow i$  // r(e) stores the root vertex associated with the entry eelse // vertex i is not a peak of  $\hat{f}$  within G  $g(i) \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \hat{f}(j)$  // g(i) stores the approximate gradient at vertex i  $e_i \leftarrow \mathcal{U}.find(g(i))$ ; Attach vertex i to the entry  $e_i$ ;

graph-based hill-climbing (1976)

# Enter Topological Persistence...



- Nested family (*filtration*) of sublevel-sets  $f^{-1}((-\infty, t])$  for t ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$
- Track the evolution of the topology throughout the family



- Nested family (*filtration*) of sublevel-sets  $f^{-1}((-\infty, t])$  for t ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$
- Track the evolution of the topology throughout the family



- Nested family (*filtration*) of sublevel-sets  $f^{-1}((-\infty, t])$  for t ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$
- Track the evolution of the topology throughout the family



- Nested family (*filtration*) of sublevel-sets  $f^{-1}((-\infty,t])$  for t ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$
- Track the evolution of the topology throughout the family



- Nested family (*filtration*) of sublevel-sets  $f^{-1}((-\infty, t])$  for t ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$
- Track the evolution of the topology throughout the family



- Nested family (*filtration*) of sublevel-sets  $f^{-1}((-\infty, t])$  for t ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$
- Track the evolution of the topology throughout the family



- Nested family (*filtration*) of sublevel-sets  $f^{-1}((-\infty, t])$  for t ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$
- Track the evolution of the topology throughout the family



- Nested family (*filtration*) of sublevel-sets  $f^{-1}((-\infty, t])$  for t ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$
- Track the evolution of the topology throughout the family



- Nested family (*filtration*) of sublevel-sets  $f^{-1}((-\infty, t])$  for t ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$
- Track the evolution of the topology throughout the family
- Finite set of intervals (barcode) encodes births/deaths of topological features



- Nested family (*filtration*) of sublevel-sets  $f^{-1}((-\infty, t])$  for t ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$
- Track the evolution of the topology throughout the family
- Finite set of intervals (barcode) encodes births/deaths of topological features



Algorithm:

- input: graph  $G = (V, E) + map \ f : V \sqcup E \to \mathbb{R}$
- procedure: scan graph by increasing f-values, update CCs by union-find



Inside the black box:

- Nested family (*filtration*) of sublevel-sets  $f^{-1}((-\infty, t])$  for t ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $+\infty$
- Track the evolution of the topology throughout the family
- Finite set of intervals (barcode) encodes births/deaths of topological features



• Alternate representation as a multiset of points in the plane (*diagram*).

What if f is slightly perturbed?



**Theorem (Stability):** [Cohen-Steiner et al. 2005, Chazal, O. et al. 2009] For any *tame* functions  $f, g : \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $d_B^{\infty}(\operatorname{Dg} f, \operatorname{Dg} g) \leq ||f - g||_{\infty}$ .

partial matching  $M : \operatorname{Dg} f \leftrightarrow \operatorname{Dg} g$ 

cost of a matched pair  $(p,q) \in M$ :  $||p-q||_{\infty}$ 

cost of an unmatched point  $s \in \operatorname{Dg} f \sqcup \operatorname{Dg} g$ :  $||s - \bar{s}||_{\infty}$ 



cost of a matching:

$$\max\left\{\sup_{(p, q) \text{ matched }} \|p - q\|_{\infty}, \sup_{s \text{ unmatched }} \|s - \bar{s}\|_{\infty}\right\}$$

bottleneck distance:

$$\mathrm{d}^{\infty}_{B}(\mathrm{Dg}\,f,\mathrm{Dg}\,g) = \inf_{M:\mathrm{Dg}\,f\leftrightarrow\mathrm{Dg}\,g}\mathsf{cost}(M)$$













 $f_P: \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  $x \mapsto \min_{p \in P} \|x - p\|_2$ 



								_
								<b>—</b>
				-				
				-				
			<u> </u>					
			_					
				-				
				-				
				-				
			<u> </u>					
			<u> </u>					
				-				
				-				
				-				
				-				
				-				
				-				
				-				
				-				
				-				
				-				
				-				
				-				_
				-				
								_
	2			-	10	12	  1 /l	16
0	2				10	12		-
0	2	4	6	-	10	12		

 $f_P: \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R} \\ x \mapsto \min_{p \in P} \|x - p\|_2$ 





 $f_P: \quad \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  $x \mapsto \min_{p \in P} \|x - p\|_2$ 











 $\mathsf{barcode} \to \mathsf{merge} \; \mathsf{tree}$ 





# Back to Mode Seeking

(use density estimator instead of distance function)

# Persistence for Mode Seeking

Given a probability density f:

- Nested family (filtration) of superlevel-sets  $f^{-1}([t, +\infty))$  for t from  $+\infty$  to  $-\infty$ .
- Track evolution of topology throughout the family.



# Persistence for Mode Seeking

Given a probability density f:

- Nested family (filtration) of superlevel-sets  $f^{-1}([t, +\infty))$  for t from  $+\infty$  to  $-\infty$ .
- Track evolution of topology throughout the family.


- Nested family (filtration) of superlevel-sets  $f^{-1}([t, +\infty))$  for t from  $+\infty$  to  $-\infty$ .
- Track evolution of topology throughout the family.



- Nested family (filtration) of superlevel-sets  $f^{-1}([t, +\infty))$  for t from  $+\infty$  to  $-\infty$ .
- Track evolution of topology throughout the family.



- Nested family (filtration) of superlevel-sets  $f^{-1}([t, +\infty))$  for t from  $+\infty$  to  $-\infty$ .
- Track evolution of topology throughout the family.



- Nested family (filtration) of superlevel-sets  $f^{-1}([t, +\infty))$  for t from  $+\infty$  to  $-\infty$ .
- Track evolution of topology throughout the family.



- Nested family (filtration) of superlevel-sets  $f^{-1}([t, +\infty))$  for t from  $+\infty$  to  $-\infty$ .
- Track evolution of topology throughout the family.



- Nested family (filtration) of superlevel-sets  $f^{-1}([t, +\infty))$  for t from  $+\infty$  to  $-\infty$ .
- Track evolution of topology throughout the family.
- Finite set of intervals (barcode) encodes births/deaths of topological features.



- Nested family (filtration) of superlevel-sets  $f^{-1}([t, +\infty))$  for t from  $+\infty$  to  $-\infty$ .
- Track evolution of topology throughout the family.
- Finite set of intervals (barcode) encodes births/deaths of topological features.



Given an estimator  $\hat{f}$ :

Stability Theorem  $\Rightarrow d_B^{\infty}(\operatorname{Dg} f, \operatorname{Dg} \hat{f}) \leq ||f - \hat{f}||_{\infty}.$ 



# More precisely...

• Density estimator  $\hat{f}$  defines an order on the point cloud (sort data points by **decreasing** estimated density values)



# More precisely...

- Density estimator  $\hat{f}$  defines an order on the point cloud (sort data points by **decreasing** estimated density values)
- Extend order to the graph edges  $\rightarrow$  upper-star filtration  $(\hat{f}([u,v]) = \min{\{\hat{f}(u), \hat{f}(v)\}})$



# More precisely...

- Density estimator  $\hat{f}$  defines an order on the point cloud (sort data points by **decreasing** estimated density values)
- Extend order to the graph edges  $\rightarrow$  upper-star filtration  $(\hat{f}([u,v]) = \min{\{\hat{f}(u), \hat{f}(v)\}})$
- Compute the 0-dimensional persistence diagram of this filtration (apply 0-dimensional persistence algorithm  $\rightarrow$  union-find data structure)













Hypotheses:

- $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  a *c*-Lipschitz probability density function,
- $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  a finite set of n points sampled i.i.d. according to f,
- $\hat{f}: P \to \mathbb{R}$  a density estimator such that  $\eta := \max_{p \in P} |\hat{f}(p) f(p)| < \Pi/5$ ,
- G = (P, E) the  $\delta$ -neighborhood graph for some positive  $\delta < \frac{\Pi 5\eta}{5c}$ .

Note:  $\Pi$  is the prominence of the least prominent peak of f

Hypotheses:

- $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  a *c*-Lipschitz probability density function,
- $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  a finite set of n points sampled i.i.d. according to f,
- $\hat{f}: P \to \mathbb{R}$  a density estimator such that  $\eta := \max_{p \in P} |\hat{f}(p) f(p)| < \Pi/5$ ,
- G = (P, E) the  $\delta$ -neighborhood graph for some positive  $\delta < \frac{\Pi 5\eta}{5c}$ .

Note:  $\Pi$  is the prominence of the least prominent peak of f

#### **Conclusion:**

For any choice of  $\tau$  such that  $2(c\delta + \eta) < \tau < \Pi - 3(c\delta + \eta)$ , the number of clusters computed by the algorithm is equal to the number of peaks of f with probability at least  $1 - e^{-\Omega(n)}$ .

(the  $\Omega$  notation hides factors depending on  $c, \delta$ )



#### **Conclusion:**

For any choice of  $\tau$  such that  $2(c\delta + \eta) < \tau < \Pi - 3(c\delta + \eta)$ , the number of clusters computed by the algorithm is equal to the number of peaks of f with probability at least  $1 - e^{-\Omega(n)}$ .

(the  $\Omega$  notation hides factors depending on  $c, \delta$ )



#### Proof's main ingredient: stability theorem for persistence diagrams

- degree-0 persistence algo. builds a hierarchy of the peaks of  $\hat{f}$  (merge tree)
- merge clusters according to the hierarchy (merge each cluster into its parent)



- degree-0 persistence algo. builds a hierarchy of the peaks of  $\hat{f}$  (merge tree)
- merge clusters according to the hierarchy (merge each cluster into its parent)
- given a fixed threshold  $\tau \geq 0$ , only merge those clusters of prominence  $< \tau$



$$0 \leq \tau \leq \alpha - \beta$$

- degree-0 persistence algo. builds a hierarchy of the peaks of  $\hat{f}$  (merge tree)
- merge clusters according to the hierarchy (merge each cluster into its parent)
- given a fixed threshold  $\tau \geq 0$ , only merge those clusters of prominence  $< \tau$



$$\alpha - \beta < \tau \le \gamma - \delta$$

- degree-0 persistence algo. builds a hierarchy of the peaks of  $\hat{f}$  (merge tree)
- merge clusters according to the hierarchy (merge each cluster into its parent)
- given a fixed threshold  $\tau \geq 0$ , only merge those clusters of prominence  $< \tau$



$$\gamma-\delta<\tau\leq+\infty$$

### Pseudo-code:

**Input:** simple graph G with n vertices, n-dimensional vector  $\hat{f}$ , real parameter  $\tau \geq 0$ .

Sort the vertex indices  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  so that  $\hat{f}(1) \ge \hat{f}(2) \ge \dots \ge \hat{f}(n)$ ; Initialize a union-find data structure  $\mathcal{U}$  and two vectors g, r of size n;

for i = 1 to n do Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the set of neighbors of i in G that have indices lower than i; **if**  $\mathcal{N} = \emptyset$  // vertex *i* is a peak of  $\hat{f}$  within *G* Create a new entry e in  $\mathcal{U}$  and attach vertex i to it; graph-based  $r(e) \leftarrow i$  // r(e) stores the root vertex associated with the entry ehill-climbing **else** // vertex i is not a peak of  $\hat{f}$  within G (1976) $g(i) \leftarrow rgmax_{j \in \mathcal{N}} f(j)$  // g(i) stores the approximate gradient at vertex i $e_i \leftarrow \mathcal{U}.\mathtt{find}(q(i));$ Attach vertex i to the entry  $e_i$ ; for  $j \in \mathcal{N}$  do  $e \leftarrow \mathcal{U}.\mathtt{find}(j);$ cluster merges if  $e \neq e_i$  and  $\min\{\hat{f}(r(e)), \hat{f}(r(e_i))\} < \hat{f}(i) + \tau$ with persistence  $\mathcal{U}.union(e, e_i);$ (2013) $r(e \cup e_i) \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_{\{r(e), r(e_i)\}} \hat{f};$  $e_i \leftarrow e \cup e_i;$ 

**Output:** the collection of entries e of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\hat{f}(r(e)) \geq \tau$ .

# Complexity of the Algorithm

Given a neighborhood graph with n vertices (with density values) and m edges:

1. the algorithm sorts the vertices by decreasing density values,

2. the algorithm makes a single pass through the vertex set, creating the spanning forest and merging clusters on the fly using a union-find data structure.

- $\rightarrow$  Running time:  $O(n \log n + (n + m)\alpha(n))$
- $\rightarrow$  Space complexity: O(n+m)
- $\rightarrow$  Main memory usage: O(n)









#### **Biological Data**

Alanine-Dipeptide conformations ( $\mathbb{R}^{21}$ )

RMSD distance (non-Euclidean)



Common belief: 6 metastable states PD shows anywhere between 4 and 7 clusters





#### **Biological Data**

Alanine-Dipeptide conformations ( $\mathbb{R}^{21}$ )

RMSD distance (non-Euclidean)



Note: Spectral Clustering takes a week of tweaking, while ToMATo runs out-of-the-box in a few minutes

• Y. Yao, J. Sun, X. Huang, G. Bowman, G. Singh, M. Lesnick, L. Guibas, V. Pande, G. Carlsson, Topological methods for exploring low-density states in biomolecular folding pathways, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 2009.

#### **Image Segmentation**

Density is estimated in 3D color space (Luv) Neighborhood graph is built in image domain



Distribution of prominences does not usually show a clear unique gap

Still, relationship between choice of  $\tau$  and number of obtained clusters remains explicit





# Recap'

ToMATo:

- 1. graph-based mode-seeking algorithm of [KNF'76]
- 2. single-pass cluster merging phase guided by persistence

Competitors:

1. Mean-Shift and its variants (smoothing a priori)

2. ...

# Recap'

- Highly generic
  - applicable in arbitrary metric spaces
  - agnostic to the choice of neighborhood graph and density estimator
- Easy to tune
  - mostly two parameters: neighborhood size, persistence threshold  $\tau$
  - PD provides insight into the correct number of clusters
- Comes with theoretical guarantees
  - number of obtained clusters versus number of prominent peaks
  - partial approximation of the basins of attraction of the peaks
- Efficient and practical
  - near linear runtime, linear main memory usage
  - can handle data sets with hundreds of thousands of points in practice