### MPRI 2014/15

# Dealing with noise and outliers: distance functions to measures

F. Chazal Geometrica Group INRIA Saclay





### Introduction



- Data are often corrupted by noise and outliers
- What can we say about the topology/geometry underlying such noisy data?
- Is it possible to generalize the distance based approach?

### Geometric Inference



**Question:** Given an approximation C of a geometric object K, is it possible to reliably estimate the topological and geometric properties of K, knowing only the approximation C?

**Question \*:** Given a point cloud C (or some other more complicated set), is it possible to infer some robust topological or geometric information of C?

- The answer depends on:
  - the considered class of objects (no hope to get a positive answer in full generality),
  - a notion of distance between the objects (approximation).

### Distance functions for geometric inference

**Considered objects:** compact subsets K of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

### Distance:

distance function to a compact  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ :  $d_K : x \to \inf_{p \in K} ||x - p||$ Hausdorf distance between two compact sets:

$$d_H(K, K') = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |d_K(x) - d_{K'}(x)|$$

- Replace K and C by  $d_K$  and  $d_C$
- Compare the topology of the offsets  $K^r = d_K^{-1}([0,r]) \text{ and } C^r = d_C^{-1}([0,r])$



# Distance functions: the three (indeed two) main ingredients of stability

• the stability of the map  $K \mapsto d_K$ :  $\|d_K - d_{K'}\|_{\infty} = d_H(K, K')$ 

# Distance functions: the three (indeed two) main ingredients of stability

- the stability of the map  $K \mapsto d_K$ :  $\|d_K - d_{K'}\|_{\infty} = d_H(K, K')$
- the 1-Lipschitz property for  $d_K$ ;  $\longrightarrow \frac{d_K}{everywhere}$ .

# Distance functions: the three (indeed two) main ingredients of stability

- the stability of the map  $K \mapsto d_K$ :  $\|d_K - d_{K'}\|_{\infty} = d_H(K, K')$
- the 1-Lipschitz property for  $d_K$ ;  $\longrightarrow$

 $d_K$  is differentiable almost everywhere.

- the gradient vector field  $\nabla d_K$  is well defined and integrable (although not continuous).
- Isotopy lemma.
- $d_K$  admits a second derivative almost everywhere.
- the 1-concavity of the function  $d_K^2$ :  $x \to \|x\|^2 - d_K^2(x)$  is convex.

### The problem of "outliers"



If  $K' = K \cup \{x\}$  where  $d_K(x) > R$ , then  $||d_K - d_{K'}||_{\infty} > R$ : offset-based inference methods fail!

**Question:** Can we generalize the previous approach by replacing the distance function by a "distance-like" function having a better behavior with respect to "noise" and "outliers"?

### Replacing compact sets by measures



A measure  $\mu$  is a mass distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ : mathematically, it is defined as a map  $\mu$  that takes a (Borel) subset  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and outputs a nonnegative number  $\mu(B)$ . Moreover we ask that if  $(B_i)$  are disjoint subsets,  $\mu(\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} B_i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mu(B_i)$ .

 $\mu(B)$  corresponds to to the mass of  $\mu$  contained in B

### Replacing compact sets by measures



- a point cloud  $C = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$  defines a measure  $\mu_C = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \delta_{p_i}$
- the volume form on a k-dimensional submanifold M of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  defines a measure  $\operatorname{vol}_{k|M}$ .
- etc...

### Distance between measures

"The" Wasserstein distance  $d_W(\mu, \nu)$  between two probability measures  $\mu, \nu$  quantifies the optimal cost of pushing  $\mu$  onto  $\nu$ , the cost of moving a small mass dx from x to y being  $||x - y||^2 dx$ .



- 1.  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are discrete measures:  $\mu = \sum_{i} c_i \delta_{x_i}, \ \nu = \sum_{j} d_j \delta_{y_j}$  with  $\sum_{j} d_j = \sum_{i} c_i.$ 
  - 2. Transport plan: set of coefficients  $\pi_{ij} \geq 0$  with  $\sum_i \pi_{ij} = d_j$  and  $\sum_j \pi_{ij} = c_i$ .
  - 3. Cost of a transport plan  $C(\pi) = \left(\sum_{ij} \|x_i - y_j\|^2 \pi_{ij}\right)^{1/2}$

4.  $d_W(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\pi} C(\pi)$ 

### Distance between measures

"The" Wasserstein distance  $d_W(\mu, \nu)$  between two probability measures  $\mu, \nu$  quantifies the optimal cost of pushing  $\mu$  onto  $\nu$ , the cost of moving a small mass dx from x to y being  $||x - y||^2 dx$ .



1.  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are proba measures in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ 

- 2. Transport plan:  $\pi$  a proba measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$  s.t.  $\pi(A \times \mathbb{R}^d) = \mu(A)$  and  $\pi(\mathbb{R}^d \times B) = \nu(B).$
- 3. Cost of a transport plan  $C(\pi) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} \|x - y\|^2 d\pi(x, y) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$

4. 
$$d_W(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\pi} C(\pi)$$

### Wasserstein distance



### **Examples:**

• If  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are two point clouds, with  $\#C_1 = \#C_2$ , then  $d_W(\mu_{C_1}, \mu_{C_2})$  is the square root of the cost of a minimal least-square matching between  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .

• If 
$$C = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$$
 is a point cloud, and  $C' = \{p_1, \dots, p_{n-k-1}, o_1, \dots, o_k\}$  with  $d(o_i, C) = R$ , then  
 $d_H(C, C') \ge R$  but  $d_W(\mu_C, \mu_{C'}) \le \frac{k}{n}(R + \operatorname{diam}(C))$ 

### The distance to a measure

Distance function to a measure, first attempt: Let  $m \in ]0,1[$  be a positive mass, and  $\mu$  a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :  $\delta_{\mu,m}(x) = \inf \{r > 0 : \mu(\mathbb{B}(x,r)) > m\}.$ 



- $\delta_{\mu,m}$  is the smallest distance needed to capture a mass of at least m;
- Coincides with the distance to the k-th neighbor when m=k/n and  $\mu=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n\delta_{p_i}$ :

$$\delta_{\mu,k/n}(\mu) = \|x - p_C^k(x)\|$$

## Unstability of $\mu \mapsto \delta_{\mu,m}$

Distance function to a measure, first attempt: Let  $m \in ]0,1[$  be a positive mass, and  $\mu$  a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ :  $\delta_{\mu,m}(x) = \inf \{r > 0 : \mu(\mathbb{B}(x,r)) > m\}.$ 

Unstability under Wasserstein perturbations:

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\varepsilon} &= (1/2 - \varepsilon)\delta_0 + (1/2 + \varepsilon)\delta_1 \\ \text{for } \varepsilon &> 0: \ \forall x < 0, \ \delta_{\mu_{\varepsilon}, 1/2}(x) = |x - 1| \\ \text{for } \varepsilon &= 0: \ \forall x < 0, \ \delta_{\mu_0, 1/2}(x) = |x - 0| \end{split}$$



Consequence: the map  $\mu \mapsto \delta_{\mu,m} \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is discontinuous whatever the (reasonable) topology on  $C^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

### The distance function to a measure

**Definition:** Given a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $m_0 > 0$ , one defines:

$$d_{\mu,m_0}: x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{m_0} \int_0^{m_0} \delta_{\mu,m}^2(x) dm\right)^{1/2}$$

### The distance function to a measure

**Definition:** Given a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $m_0 > 0$ , one defines:

$$d_{\mu,m_{0}}: x \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \mapsto \left(\frac{1}{m_{0}} \int_{0}^{m_{0}} \delta_{\mu,m}^{2}(x) dm\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\|x - p_{C}^{k}(x)\| = \left\|x - p_{C}^{2}(x)\| = \left\|x - p_{C}^{1}(x)\|\right\| = \left\|\frac{1}{n} - \frac{2}{n} + \dots + \frac{k}{n}\right\|$$

**Example.** Let  $C = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$  and  $\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{p_i}$ . Let  $p_C^k(x)$  denote the *k*th nearest neighbor to *x* in *C*, and set  $m_0 = k_0/n$ :

$$d_{\mu,m_0}(x) = \left(\frac{1}{k_0}\sum_{k=1}^{k_0} \|x - p_C^k(x)\|^2\right)^{1/2}$$



"The projection submeasure":  $\tilde{\mu}_{x,m_0}$  = the restriction of  $\mu$  on the ball  $B = \mathbb{B}(x, \delta_{\mu,m_0}(x))$ , whose trace on the sphere  $\partial B$  has been rescaled so that the total mass of  $\tilde{\mu}_{x,m_0}$  is  $m_0$ .

$$d_{\mu,m_0}^2(x) = \frac{1}{m_0} \int_{h \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|h - x\|^2 \, d\tilde{\mu}_{x,m_0} = d_W^2\left(\delta_x, \frac{1}{m_0}\tilde{\mu}_{x,m_0}\right)$$

$$d_{\mu,m_0}(x) = \min_{\tilde{\mu}} \left\{ d_W\left(\delta_x, \frac{1}{m_0}\tilde{\mu}\right) : \tilde{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^d) = m_0 \text{ and } \tilde{\mu} \le \mu \right\}$$

**Proof:** 

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mu,m_0}(x) &= \min_{\tilde{\mu}} \left\{ d_W\left(\delta_x, \frac{1}{m_0}\tilde{\mu}\right) : \tilde{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^d) = m_0 \text{ and } \tilde{\mu} \leq \mu \right\} \\ \text{Proof:} & \text{Only one transport plan} : y \in \mathbb{R}^d \to x \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|h - x\|^2 d\tilde{\mu}(h) \end{aligned}$$

$$d_{\mu,m_0}(x) = \min_{\tilde{\mu}} \left\{ d_W\left(\delta_x, \frac{1}{m_0}\tilde{\mu}\right) : \tilde{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^d) = m_0 \text{ and } \tilde{\mu} \le \mu \right\}$$

**Proof:** 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|h - x\|^2 d\tilde{\mu}(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^2 d\tilde{\mu}_x(t) = \int_0^{m_0} F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}^{-1}(m)^2 dm$$

pushforward of  $\tilde{\mu}$  by the distance function to x.

 $F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}(t) = \tilde{\mu}_x([0,t))$  is the cumulative function of  $\tilde{\mu}_x$  and  $F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}^{-1}(m) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} : F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}(t) > m\}$  is its generalized inverse

$$d_{\mu,m_0}(x) = \min_{\tilde{\mu}} \left\{ d_W\left(\delta_x, \frac{1}{m_0}\tilde{\mu}\right) : \tilde{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^d) = m_0 \text{ and } \tilde{\mu} \le \mu \right\}$$

**Proof:** 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|h - x\|^2 d\tilde{\mu}(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^2 d\tilde{\mu}_x(t) = \int_0^{m_0} F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}^{-1}(m)^2 dm$$

pushforward of  $\tilde{\mu}$  by the distance function to x.

 $F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}(t) = \tilde{\mu}_x([0,t))$  is the cumulative function of  $\tilde{\mu}_x$  and  $F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}^{-1}(m) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} : F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}(t) > m\}$  is its generalized inverse

• 
$$\tilde{\mu} \le \mu \Rightarrow F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}(t) \le F_{\mu_x}(t) \Rightarrow F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}^{-1}(m) \ge F_{\mu_x}^{-1}(m)$$

•  $F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}(t) = \mu(\mathbb{B}(x,t))$  and  $F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}^{-1}(m) = \delta_{\tilde{\mu},m}(x)$ 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|h - x\|^2 d\tilde{\mu}(h) \ge \int_0^{m_0} F_{\mu_x}^{-1}(m)^2 dm = \int_0^{m_0} \delta_{\mu,m}(x)^2 dm$$

$$d_{\mu,m_0}(x) = \min_{\tilde{\mu}} \left\{ d_W\left(\delta_x, \frac{1}{m_0}\tilde{\mu}\right) : \tilde{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^d) = m_0 \text{ and } \tilde{\mu} \le \mu \right\}$$

**Proof:** 

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|h - x\|^2 d\tilde{\mu}(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} t^2 d\tilde{\mu}_x(t) = \int_0^{m_0} F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}^{-1}(m)^2 dm$$

pushforward of  $\tilde{\mu}$  by the distance function to x.

 $F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}(t) = \tilde{\mu}_x([0,t))$  is the cumulative function of  $\tilde{\mu}_x$  and  $F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}^{-1}(m) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} : F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}(t) > m\}$  is its generalized inverse

Equality iff 
$$F_{\tilde{\mu}_x}^{-1}(m) = F_{\mu_x}^{-1}(m)$$
 for almost every  $m$   
 $\Rightarrow$  equality if  $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\mu}_{x,m_0}$   

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|h - x\|^2 d\tilde{\mu}(h) \bigotimes_{0}^{m_0} F_{\mu_x}^{-1}(m)^2 dm = \int_{0}^{m_0} \delta_{\mu,m}(x)^2 dm$$

# Semiconcavity of $d^2_{\mu,m_0}$

**Theorem:** Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $m_0 \in (0, 1)$ .

- 1.  $d^2_{\mu,m_0}$  is 1-semiconcave, i.e.  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \|x\|^2 d^2_{\mu,m_0}$  is convex.
- 2.  $d^2_{\mu,m_0}$  is differentiable almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , with gradient defined by

$$\nabla_x d^2_{\mu,m_0} = \frac{2}{m_0} \int_{h \in \mathbb{R}^d} (x-h) \, d\tilde{\mu}_{x,m_0}(h)$$

3. the function  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto d_{\mu,m_0}(x)$  is 1-Lipschitz.

**Example.** Let  $C = \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$  and  $\mu = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{p_i}$ . Let  $p_C^k(x)$  denote the *k*th nearest neighbor to *x* in *C*, and set  $m_0 = k_0/n$ :

$$\nabla d_{\mu,m_0}^2(x) = 2d_{\mu,m_0}\nabla d_{\mu,m_0} = \frac{2}{k_0}\sum_{k=1}^{k_0} (x - p_C^k(x))$$

Semiconcavity of  $d^2_{\mu,m_0}$ 

#### **Proof:**

$$d_{\mu,m_{0}}^{2}(y) = \frac{1}{m_{0}} \int_{h \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} ||y - h||^{2} d\tilde{\mu}_{y,m_{0}}(h)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{m_{0}} \int_{h \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} ||y - h||^{2} d\tilde{\mu}_{x,m_{0}}(h)$$

$$d_{\mu,m_{0}}(x) = \min_{\tilde{\mu}} \left\{ d_{W} \left( \delta_{x}, \frac{1}{m_{0}} \tilde{\mu} \right) : \tilde{\mu}(\mathbb{R}^{d}) = m_{0} \text{ and } \tilde{\mu} \leq \mu \right\}$$

$$\int_{u}^{\delta_{\mu,m}(x)} \int_{u}^{\delta_{\mu,m}(x)} \int_{u}^{\delta_{\mu,m$$

# Semiconcavity of $d^2_{\mu,m_0}$

#### **Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mu,m_0}^2(y) &= \frac{1}{m_0} \int_{h \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|y - h\|^2 \, d\tilde{\mu}_{y,m_0}(h) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m_0} \int_{h \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|y - h\|^2 \, d\tilde{\mu}_{x,m_0}(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_0} \int_{h \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left( \|x - h\|^2 + 2 \, \langle x - h, y - x \rangle + \|y - x\|^2 \right) \, d\tilde{\mu}_{x,m_0}(h) \\ &= d_{\mu,m_0}^2(x) + \|y - x\|^2 + \langle V, y - x \rangle \end{aligned}$$

with  $V = \frac{2}{m_0} \int_{h \in \mathbb{R}^d} [x - h] d\tilde{\mu}_{x,m_0}(h).$ 

Semiconcavity of  $d^2_{\mu,m_0}$ 

#### **Proof:**

$$\begin{split} d_{\mu,m_0}^2(y) &= \frac{1}{m_0} \int_{h \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|y - h\|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_{y,m_0}(h) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m_0} \int_{h \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|y - h\|^2 d\tilde{\mu}_{x,m_0}(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{m_0} \int_{h \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left( \|x - h\|^2 + 2\langle x - h, y - x \rangle + \|y - x\|^2 \right) d\tilde{\mu}_{x,m_0}(h) \\ &= d_{\mu,m_0}^2(x) + \|y - x\|^2 + \langle V, y - x \rangle \\ \text{with } V &= \frac{2}{m_0} \int_{h \in \mathbb{R}^d} [x - h] d\tilde{\mu}_{x,m_0}(h) \\ &\Rightarrow \left( \|y\|^2 - d_{\mu,m_0}^2(y) \right) - \left( \|x\|^2 - d_{\mu,m_0}^2(x) \right) \geq \langle 2x - V, x - y \rangle \\ & \text{This is the gradient!} \end{split}$$

### Stability of of $\mu \to d_{\mu,m_0}$

**Theorem:** If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are two probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $m_0 > 0$ , then  $\|d_{\mu,m_0} - d_{\nu,m_0}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} d_W(\mu,\nu).$ 

### Stability of of $\mu \to d_{\mu,m_0}$

**Theorem:** If  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are two probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $m_0 > 0$ , then  $\|d_{\mu,m_0} - d_{\nu,m_0}\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} d_W(\mu,\nu).$ 

**Proof:** Set of submeasures of  $\mu$  of mass  $m_0$ . *Proposition:*  $d_H(\operatorname{Sub}_{m_0}(\mu), \operatorname{Sub}_{m_0}(\nu)) \leq d_W(\mu, \nu)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mu,m_0}(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} d_W(m_0 \delta_x, \operatorname{Sub}_{m_0}(\mu)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} (d_H(\operatorname{Sub}_{m_0}(\mu), \operatorname{Sub}_{m_0}(\nu)) + d_W(m_0 \delta_x, \operatorname{Sub}_{m_0}(\nu))) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} d_W(\mu, \nu) + d_{\nu,m_0}(x) \end{aligned}$$

### To summarize

#### Theorem

- 1. the function  $x \mapsto d_{\mu,m_0}(x)$  is 1-Lipschitz;
- 2. the function  $x \mapsto \|x\|^2 d^2_{\mu,m_0}(x)$  is convex;
- 3. the map  $\mu\mapsto d_{\mu,m_0}$  from probability measures to continuous functions is  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}}\text{-Lipschitz}$ , ie

$$\|d_{\mu,m_0} - d_{\mu',m_0}\|_{\infty} \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_0}} d_W(\mu,\mu')$$

In practice:  $d_{\mu,m_0}$  and  $\nabla d_{\mu,m_0}$  are very easy to compute for  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{p_i}$ ,  $C = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , even for pretty large d!

### Consequences

Most of the topological and geometric inference for distance functions transpose to distance to a measure functions!

- ⇒ This gives a way to associate robust geometric features to any probability measure in an Euclidean space:
  - stable offsets topology and geometry,
  - stable persistence diagrams,
  - analogous of the notions of medial axes,
  - $L^1$  stability of  $\nabla d_{\mu,m_0}$
  - • •



2300 points, 20% outliers



2300 points, 20% outliers



 $\delta_{\mu,m_0}$ ,  $m_0 = 0.023$  (k = 50)









 $\delta_{\mu,m_0}$ ,  $m_0 = 0.023$  (k = 50)



 $d_{\mu,m_0}$ ,  $m_0 = 0.023$  (k = 50)





### A 3D example



Reconstruction of an offset of a mechanical part from a noisy approximation with 10% outliers

### A reconstruction theorem



**Theorem:** Let  $\mu$  be a proba measure with compact support  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  s. t. (i)  $r_{\alpha}(K) > 0$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , (ii)  $\exists C > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x \in K$ ,  $\mu(\mathbb{B}(x, r)) \geq Cr^k$ Let  $\mu'$  be another measure, and  $\varepsilon$  be an upper bound on the uniform distance

between  $d_K$  and  $d_{\mu',m_0}$ . Then, for any  $r \in [4\varepsilon/\alpha^2, R - 3\varepsilon]$ , the *r*-sublevel sets of  $d_{\mu,m_0}$  and the offsets  $K^{\eta}$ , for  $0 < \eta < R$  are homotopy equivalent, as soon as:

$$W_2(\mu,\mu') \le \frac{R\sqrt{m_0}}{5+4/\alpha^2} - C^{-1/k} m_0^{1/k+1/2}$$





Data: 1200 points  $p_1, \dots, p_{1200}$ 

Density is estimated using

1.  $x \mapsto \frac{m_0}{\omega_{d-1}(\delta_{\hat{\mu},m_0}(x))}$ ,  $m_0 = 150/1200$  (k = 150) (Devroye-Wagner'77). 2.  $\frac{m_0}{2\pi d_{\hat{\mu},m_0}(x)^2}$ ,  $m_0 = 150/1200$  (k = 150).



Density is estimated using

1.  $x \mapsto \frac{m_0}{\omega_{d-1}(\delta_{\hat{\mu},m_0}(x))}$ ,  $m_0 = 150/1200$  (k = 150) (Devroye-Wagner'77). 2.  $\frac{m_0}{2\pi d_{\hat{\mu},m_0}(x)^2}$ ,  $m_0 = 150/1200$  (k = 150).



Density is estimated using

1. 
$$x \mapsto \frac{m_0}{\omega_{d-1}(\delta_{\hat{\mu},m_0}(x))}$$
,  $m_0 = 150/1200$  ( $k = 150$ ) (Devroye-Wagner'77).  
2.  $\frac{m_0}{2\pi d_{\hat{\mu},m_0}(x)^2}$ ,  $m_0 = 150/1200$  ( $k = 150$ ).



[Biau, C., Cohen-Steiner, Devroye, Rodriguez 2011]:  $d_{\mu,m_0}$  can be turned into a density estimator whose level sets foliation is the same as the one of  $d_{\mu,m_0}$ .



- Mean-Shift like algorithm (Fukunaga-Hostetler'75, Comaniciu-Meer '02)
- Theoretical guarantees on the convergence of the algorithm and "smoothness" of trajectories.
- "Fast concentration of mass" around underlying geometric structures?



Distance-based mean-shift followed by k-Means clustering on the point cloud made of LUV colors of the pixels of the picture on the right (10 clusters).





#### Galaxies data set





### Take-home messages

- $\mu \mapsto d_{\mu,m_0}$  provide a way to associate geometry to a measure in Euclidean space.
- $d_{\mu,m_0}$  is robust to Wasserstein perturbations : outliers and noise are easily handled (no assumption on the nature of the noise).
- $d_{\mu,m_0}$  shares regularity properties with the usual distance function to a compact.
- Geometric stability results in this measure-theoretic setting : topology/geometry of the sublevel sets of  $d_{\mu,m_0}$ , stable notion of persistence diagram for  $\mu,...$
- No need of statistical models.
- Algorithm: for finite point clouds  $d_{\mu,m_0}$  and  $\nabla(d_{\mu,m_0})$  can be easily and efficiently computed in any dimension.

To get more details: C., Cohen-Steiner, Mérigot, Geometric Inference for Probability Measures, J. Foundations of computational Mathematics, vol. 11, 6, 2011