# Topological Data Analysis — Exam

#### April 07, 2016

### 1 Bottleneck distance

Question 1. Show that the bottleneck distance satisfies the triangle inequality.

**Question 2.** Show that the bottleneck distance is only a pseudodistance, that is: exhibit a pair of distinct persistence diagrams whose distance is zero.

#### 2 Euler characteristic

Given a topological space X and a field  $\mathbf{k}$ , the *Euler characteristic* is the quantity:

$$\chi(X; \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^i \dim \mathcal{H}_i(X; \mathbf{k}).$$

Question 3. Show that  $\chi$  is a topological invariant, that is: for any spaces X, Y that are homotopy equivalent,  $\chi(X; \mathbf{k}) = \chi(Y; \mathbf{k})$ .

Hint: look at what happens to each individual homology group.

Now we want to prove the Euler-Poincaré theorem:

**Theorem 1.** For any simplicial complex X and any field  $\mathbf{k}$ :

$$\chi(X;\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^i n_i(X),$$

where  $n_i(X)$  denotes the number of simplices of X of dimension i.

For this we will use topological persistence. Consider an arbitrary filtration of X:

$$\emptyset = X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq X_m = X.$$

Assume without loss of generality that a single simplex  $\sigma_j$  is inserted at each step j:

$$\forall j = 1, \cdots, m, \ X_j \setminus X_{j-1} = \{\sigma_j\}.$$

Note that m is then equal to the number of simplices of X, that is:

$$m = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} n_i(X).$$

Let us apply the persistence algorithm to this simplicial filtration. Recall that we have the following property:

**Lemma 1.** At each step j, the insertion of simplex  $\sigma_j$  either creates an independent  $d_j$ dimensional cycle (i.e. increases the dimension of  $H_{d_j}(X_{j-1}; \mathbf{k})$  by 1) or kills a  $(d_j - 1)$ dimensional cycle (i.e. decreases the dimension of  $H_{d_j-1}(X_{j-1}; \mathbf{k})$  by 1), where  $d_j$  is the dimension of  $\sigma_j$ .

**Question 4.** Using Lemma 1, prove Theorem 1. **Hint:** proceed by induction on j.

**Question 5.** Deduce that the Euler characteristic of a triangulable space is independent of the choice of field  $\mathbf{k}$ .

## 3 Reeb graph and Mapper

Consider the function f depicted on the left-hand side of Figure 1. Note that its domain X (the gray area) is a subset of the plane, not a torus.



Figure 1: Left: the height function f on a planar domain. Right: the interval cover.

Question 6. Compute the Reeb graph of f. You may simply draw it with labels.

**Question 7.** Compute the extended persistence diagram of the map f. **Hints:** homology of dimension 2 and above is trivial; the values  $a, \dots, l$  are paired up to form the diagram points.

**Question 8.** Prune the diagram to obtain the extended persistence diagram of the quotient map  $\tilde{f}$ . What do you observe? Explain.

**Question 9.** Consider now the interval cover of Im f depicted on the right-hand side of Figure 1. Compute the corresponding Mapper.

**Question 10.** Relate the Mapper to the Reeb graph: in your opinion, to which points of the extended persistence diagram of  $\tilde{f}$  do the topological features of the Mapper correspond?

#### 4 The category of persistence modules

A morphism between two persistence modules  $\mathbb{V}$  and  $\mathbb{W}$  over T is a family  $\Phi$  of linear maps  $(\phi_t : V_t \to W_t)_{t \in T}$  such that the following diagram commutes for all  $t \leq t' \in T$ :



 $\Phi$  is called an *isomorphism* if each map  $\phi_t$  is itself an isomorphism  $V_t \to W_t$ .

Question 11. Show that the persistence modules over a fixed index set T, together with the morphisms between them, form a category, that is:

- for each persistence module  $\mathbb{V}$  there exist isomorphisms  $\mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{V}$ ,
- for any morphisms  $\mathbb{U} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbb{V}$  and  $\mathbb{V} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathbb{W}$  there exists a morphism  $\mathbb{U} \xrightarrow{\Psi \circ \Phi} \mathbb{W}$ .

A submodule  $\mathbb{W}$  of a persistence module  $\mathbb{V}$  is composed of subspaces  $W_t \subseteq V_t$  for all  $t \in T$ , and of maps  $w_t^{t'} = v_t^{t'}|_{W_t}$  for all  $t \leq t' \in T$ . In particular,  $v_t^{t'}(W_t) \subseteq W_{t'}$  for all  $t \leq t' \in T$ . A simple example of submodule is the null module  $\mathbb{W} = 0$  (defined by  $W_t = 0$  for all  $t \in T$  and  $w_t^{t'} = 0$  for all  $t \leq t' \in T$ ), which is a submodule of any module  $\mathbb{V}$  over T.

**Question 12.** Show that the null module is both an *initial* and a *terminal* object in the category, that is: for any module  $\mathbb{V}$  there is a unique morphism  $0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}$  and a unique morphism  $\mathbb{V} \longrightarrow 0$ .

Direct sums in the category are defined pointwise, that is: for any persistence modules  $\mathbb{V}$  and  $\mathbb{W}$  over T, the direct sum  $\mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{W}$  is composed of the spaces  $V_t \oplus W_t$  for all  $t \in T$ , and of the maps  $v_t^{t'} \oplus w_t^{t'}$  for all  $t \leq t' \in T$ . We say that  $\mathbb{V}$  and  $\mathbb{W}$  are summands of the direct sum  $\mathbb{V} \oplus \mathbb{W}$ . In particular, we have  $\mathbb{V} = 0 \oplus \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V} \oplus 0$  for any persistence module  $\mathbb{V}$ , so  $\mathbb{V}$  is always a summand of itself. A persistence module  $\mathbb{V}$  is called *indecomposable* if its only summands are itself or the null module. The decomposition theorem that we saw in class asserts that the only indecomposable persistence modules are the so-called interval modules over T, and that (under some conditions on T or on the dimensions of the spaces) any module decomposes as a direct sum of interval modules.

**Question 13.** Prove the decomposition theorem in the case where |T| = 1.

In the general case however, the result is much more complicated to prove as the persistence modules over T do not share the same properties of vector spaces, in particular they are not semisimple:

**Question 14.** In the case where  $|T| \ge 2$ , exhibit a counterexample showing that a submodule of a persistence module  $\mathbb{V}$  over T may not always be a summand of  $\mathbb{V}$ .