# Computational Geometry Learning Exam

#### MPRI

Duration 2h30

You are allowed access to the official course notes, the slides, and any personal notes.

# 1 Minimal enclosing shell

We consider sets of points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  in generic position. A *shell* is a region in the plane between two concentric circles. An *enclosing shell* for a point set  $\mathcal{P}$  is a shell that contains all the points of  $\mathcal{P}$ , see Figure 1. We define a *minimal enclosing shell (MES)* of  $\mathcal{P}$  as an enclosing shell with minimal area.

Answer the following questions, with justification, in any convenient order.

- 1. Does there always exist a MES?
- 2. Is it unique?
- 3. Describe without justification a MES for the point sets in Figures 2 and 3 and give their area.
- 4. Give an efficient algorithm to compute a MES. Hint: find a change of variables that makes everything linear.
- 5. Does this generalize to higher dimensions?

## 2 Voronoi counting

Terminology reminder: for a set of points in the plane, its Voronoi diagram consists of 0-cells (vertices), 1-cells (segments) and 2-cells (polygons).



Figure 1: An enclosing shell







Figure 3: Second point set



Figure 4: Left: Torus obtained by identifying the edges of two triangles. Right: simplicial complex triangulating the torus.

For a set of n points in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  in generic position, what is the *maximum* number of 4-cells that a Voronoi diagram can have, as a function of n and  $d \ge 1$ ? Give some justification.

#### 3 Problem

A torus is the surface obtained from gluing the edges of a square respecting the gluing pattern of Figure 4 (left), i.e., by identifying edges with same arrow pattern in pairs, without reverting arrows.

- Show that Figure 4 (left) is not a simplicial complex.
- Figure 4 (right) gives a simplicial complex of the torus. Give the number of simplices of each dimension of Figure 4 (right), and compute explicitly the Euler characteristic of the torus.
- Denote by v, e, and f the number of vertices, edges, and triangles of a simplicial complex, and  $\chi$  its Euler characteristic. Prove that any simplicial complex triangulating a compact surface with no boundary satisfies:

$$-2e = 3f, 
-e = 3(v - \chi), 
-e \le \frac{1}{2}v(v - 1).$$

• Prove that the simplicial complex triangulating the torus in Figure 4 has the minimal number of triangles.

• We say that a simplex  $\sigma_1 \leq_{\text{lex}} \sigma_2$  iff the string  $x_1 x_2 \dots x_d \leq_{\text{lex}} y_1 y_2 \dots y_{d'}$  in lexicographic order, where the  $x_i$  are the labels of vertices of  $\sigma_1$  (i.e.,  $\sigma_1 = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d\}$ ), the  $y_j$  are the labels of vertices of  $\sigma_2$  (i.e.,  $\sigma_2 = \{y_1, \dots, y_{d'}\}$ ), and the labels of vertices satisfy  $x_i < x_{i+1}$  and  $y_j < y_{j+1}$  for all appropriate i and j. We define similarly  $<_{\text{lex}}$  and  $=_{\text{lex}}$ .

We say that pairs of simplices  $(\tau_1, \sigma_1) \leq_{\text{lex}} (\tau_2, \sigma_2)$  iff, either  $\tau_1 <_{\text{lex}} \tau_2$ , or  $\tau_1 =_{\text{lex}} \tau_2$  and  $\sigma_1 \leq_{\text{lex}} \sigma_2$ . Consider the following heuristic to compute a Morse matching (which is a small adaptation of the one seen in class):

- A set of available simplices;  $A \leftrightarrow \mathbf{K}, X, T, S \leftarrow \emptyset$
- While  $A \neq \emptyset$ :
  - \* if there is a free pair  $(\tau, \sigma), \tau \subset \sigma$ , in A, pick the minimal such pair  $(\tau_0, \sigma_0)$  in lex order, and match  $\tau_0$  with  $\sigma_0$ :
    - $\cdot A \leftrightarrow A \setminus \{\tau_0, \sigma_0\},\$

$$T \leftrightarrow T \cup \{\tau_0\},$$

- $\cdot S \leftarrow S \cup \{\sigma_0\} \qquad \Rightarrow \omega(\tau_0) = \sigma_0$
- \* else pick the <u>maximal</u> (w.r.t. to inclusion) simplex  $\zeta_0 \in A$  that is minimal in lex order, and make it critical:

$$\cdot \text{ set } X \leftrightarrow X \cup \{\zeta_0\}, \\ \cdot A \leftrightarrow A \setminus \{\zeta_0\}.$$

Explain why this computes a Morse matching.

• Run the heuristic above by hand to compute a Morse matching for the simplicial complex in Figure 4 [left]. Detail explicitly the first five iterations of the **while** loop, with the following syntax: for  $1 \le i \le 5$ ,

"i: make xyz critical/pair  $xy \leftrightarrow xyz$ ".

Give explicitly the sets X and all the pairs  $\tau \leftrightarrow \omega(\tau)$  at the end of computation.

- Give the boundary matrices  $\partial_d^X$  in  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  for the Morse complex obtained by the heuristic, for all  $d \ge 0$ .
- Give an explicit basis for the kernel and images of each boundary map  $\partial_d^X$ , and prove that for the torus,  $\beta_0 = 1$ ,  $\beta_1 = 2$ , and  $\beta_2 = 1$  (with  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  coefficients).
- Deduce from the construction above the Z/2Z homology of the torus, minus the inside of one triangle (any triangle). Justify.
- Give a triangulation of the circle with minimal number of edges, and compute its homology.

• A short exact sequence of chain complexes is a sequence:

$$0 \xrightarrow{0} C \xrightarrow{\phi} D \xrightarrow{\psi} E \xrightarrow{0} 0$$

of five chain complexes and four chain maps, where for any two consecutive chain maps:

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z,$$

we have  $\operatorname{im} f = \operatorname{ker} g$ .

Let **K** be a simplicial complex, and  $\mathbf{K}_1, \mathbf{K}_2 \subseteq \mathbf{K}$  two sub complexes of **K**. Prove that  $\mathbf{K}_1 \cup \mathbf{K}_2$  and  $\mathbf{K}_1 \cap \mathbf{K}_2$  are simplicial complexes, and design a short exact sequence for the chain complexes:

$$0 \xrightarrow{0} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{K}_1 \cap \mathbf{K}_2) \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{K}_1) \oplus \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{K}_2) \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{K}_1 \cup \mathbf{K}_2) \xrightarrow{0} 0,$$

where the boundary maps of  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{K}_1) \oplus \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{K}_2)$  is  $\partial_1 \oplus \partial_2$ , for  $\partial_1$  the boundary map of  $\mathbf{K}_1$ , and  $\partial_2$  the boundary map for  $\mathbf{K}_2$ .

Prove that the maps  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  you have designed are chain maps, and prove that the sequence is a short exact sequence.

• A long exact sequence of vector spaces is a (possibly infinite) sequence of vector spaces and linear maps:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{f_i} V_i \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} V_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i-2}} \cdots$$

satisfying  $\operatorname{im} f_i = \operatorname{ker} f_{i-1}$ .

We accept the following lemma:

Lemma. If:

$$0 \xrightarrow{0} C \xrightarrow{\phi} D \xrightarrow{\psi} E \xrightarrow{0} 0$$

is a short exact sequence of chain complexes, then there exists the following long exact sequence of their homology groups:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_d(C) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_d(D) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_d(E) \longrightarrow \mathbf{H}_{d-1}(C) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

for all integers  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Compute the  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -Betti numbers of the double torus in Figure 5 using the lemma above, and all results obtained so far. Prove formally your statement. Recall that for a finite simplicial complex,  $\mathbf{H}_d = 0$  for all d < 0.



Figure 5: A double torus is obtained by taking two tori, removing a disk in each of them, and gluing the two circle boundaries together.

#### 4 Exercise

We call a *persistence diagram* a multi-set of points  $\{(x_i, y_i) : i \in I\} \cup \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\}$ , for a set of indices I possibly infinite and every pair  $(x_i, y_i)$  satisfying  $0 \leq x_i \leq y_i < +\infty$  for all  $i \in I$ .

- Show that the bottleneck distance between two persistence diagrams satisfies the triangle inequality.
- Prove that two *distinct* persistence diagrams (as multi-sets) can be at bottleneck distance 0 from one another.
- Prove that two persistence diagrams:

$$D_1 = \{ (x_i^{(1)}, y_i^{(1)}) : i \in I \} \cup \{ (x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \} \quad \text{and} \quad D_2 = \{ (x_j^{(2)}, y_j^{(2)}) : j \in J \} \cup \{ (x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \}$$

that are distinct as multi-sets, and for which additionally  $x_i^{(1)} < y_i^{(1)}$  and  $x_j^{(2)} < y_j^{(2)}$  for all  $i \in I$  and  $j \in J$ , can be at bottleneck distance 0 from one another.

# 5 Exercise

Give the persistence diagram (with filtration values based on distances) of the Rips filtration given by the point cloud of Figure 6 in the Euclidean plane. Detail your calculation.



Figure 6: Point cloud in the Euclidean plane.